INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

2006-2007 COMPLIANCE AND ON-SITE MONITORING REPORT FOR:

Brainfuse

DOCUMENT ANALYSIS		OBSERVATION		COMPLIANCE		
Tutor Qualifications	I In action to at a ma	Lesson matches	Satisfactory	Criminal Background Checks	Non Compliance	
Tutor Qualifications	Unsatisfactory	original description	Satisfactory	Health/safety laws &	Non-Compliance Not applicable	
Recruiting Materials	Satisfactory	Instruction is clear	Satisfactory	regulations	Online Provider	
Academic Program	Satisfactory	Time on task is appropriate	Satisfactory	Financial viability	In Compliance	
Progress Reporting	Unsatisfactory	Instructor is appropriately knowledgeable	Satisfactory		•	
		Student/instructor ratio: 1:1	Satisfactory			

ACTION NEEDED: NONE

Provider submitted corrective action plan 1) describing how Brainfuse will ensure for all future tutors that *current* background checks are conducted prior to tutors working with children and 2) describing how Brainfuse will ensure in the future that all SES tutors meet tutor qualifications.

On-site Monitoring Visit Rubric DOCUMENT ANALYSIS Components

NAME OF PROVIDER: Brainfuse

DATE DOCUMENTATION RECEIVED: 4/27/07

REVIEWER: ST

Providers are required to submit documentation for each component during the site visit. If documentation is not available on-site, the director or head of the provider's organization, the site director, or another authorized representative will be required to submit documentation to the IDOE within seven (7) calendar days of site visit completion. **Failure to submit evidence could result in removal from the approved provider list.** Providers will be given an Unsatisfactory or Satisfactory for each component. Providers receiving an Unsatisfactory for any component may be required to address deficiencies within 7 calendar days of receiving their final report.

		DOCUMENTATION			
COMPONENT	DOCKIMENT ATION NEEDED	SUBMITTED	G	***	COMPENSE
COMPONENT	ONE of the following:	(IDOE use only)	S	U	COMMENTS
	ONE of the following:				
	-Tutor resumes/applications (all tutors)				
	T. TROUGH				
	In addition to:				110 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	ONE of the following:				Although most tutors meet qualifications described in
	-Tutor evaluations (<u>all tutors</u>)	m .			provider application, one tutor did not meet provider's
	-Recruiting policy for tutors (<u>one copy</u>)	-Tutor resumes			tutor qualifications. Tutor qualifications described in
Tutor qualifications	-Sample tutor contract (one copy)	-Tutor policy		X	Tutor Policy manual match original application.
	TWO of the following:				
					Incentive policy is in line with Indiana Department of
	-Advertising or recruitment fliers	-Incentive policy			Education's policy. Program description for parents
	-Incentives policy	-Parent program			matches observed lesson and provider's original
Recruiting materials	-Program description for parents	description	X		application.
	ONE of the following:				
	-Lesson plan(s) for one class in all subjects				
	offered				
	In addition to:				
	ONE of the following:	-Lessons in math			
	-Detailed lesson description	and reading			
	-Specific connections to Indiana standards	-Description of			Lessons match observed lessons and are in line with
	-Description of connections to curriculum	connection to IN			provider application. Lessons connect to Indiana
Academic Program	of EACH district the provider works with.	academic standards	X		academic standards.
_	TWO of the following:	-Progress reporting			Timeline is in line with provider application. Progress
		timeline		X	reports are not uniform as some include less information

		-Progress reports	and d	etails than others. In addition, not all progress
			repor	ts include tutor comments or attendance
	-Sample progress report		infor	mation as described in provider's original
	-Timeline for sending progress reports		appli	cation.
Progress Reporting	-Documentation of reports sent			

On-site Monitoring Rubric OBSERVATION Components

NAME OF PROVIDER: Brainfuse

DATE: April 19, 2007

SITE: Reviewer observed online tutoring session as it occurred

REVIEWER: ST

TUTOR'S INITIALS (ALL TUTORS OBSERVED): L.L.

TIME OF OBSERVATION: 5:30pm

NUMBER OF LESSONS OBSERVED: 1

During the site visit, IDOE personnel will visit several tutoring sessions to observe lessons being provided. IDOE reviewers will be looking to see that actual tutoring matches lesson plan descriptions that are provided in requested documents, as well as those that were provided in the original provider application; that tutors and students are spending an appropriate amount of time on task; that instruction is clear and understandable; and that instructors seem knowledgeable about lesson content.

Each provider will receive a mark of "Satisfactory" (S) or "Unsatisfactory" (U) for each component. Providers receiving a "U" in any component may be required to address deficiencies within 7 calendar days of receiving their final report. Failure to address deficiencies may result in removal from the state approved list.

COMPONENT	S	U	REVIEWER COMMENTS			
			Tutor and student read a story online together about Michael Jordan. The online lesson also included			
			periodic reading comprehension questions for the student about selections from the reading passage.			
			n addition, the tutor asked (typed) follow-up questions to check for the student's deeper			
			understanding of the reading content. The student was encouraged to type a response to questions			
			posed by the tutor or circle or underline his response to the computer generated reading			
			comprehension questions.			
Lesson matches original description in			comprehension questions.			
	v		Observed leaves matches original description in appellant and leaves			
provider application	X		Observed lesson matches original description in provider application.			
			Tutor provided clarification on sections of the reading selection that appeared (due to the delay in			
			the students response) more difficult for the student to comprehend and also found multiple ways to			
Instruction is clear	X		explain the directions or a concept when the student did not understand the information initially.			
			Student appeared to be on task during lesson as noted by his active participation in typing responses			
			Student appeared to be on task during lesson as noted by his active participation in typing responses or circling or underlining text as requested. If there were long delays in responses, the tutor asked			
			the student if he needed assistance or checked to make sure the student was still on task and			
Time on task is appropriate	X		following along.			
11 1			Tutor appeared knowledgeable about Brainfuse's curriculum. Tutor effectively engaged student			
			throughout the lesson by providing (typing) positive feedback and sharing (typing) encouraging			
			words when the student answered correctly or demonstrated he was on the right path. When the			
			student selected the wrong answer or typed an incorrect response, the tutor worked with the student			
Instructor is appropriately			to make sure he understood what he was being asked and then reviewed the definitions of terms in			
	X					
knowledgeable	Λ		the text or question that the student did not appear to initially understand. For example, one question			

		asked the student to select the appropriate decade in which an event occurred. The student selected the wrong answer so the tutor reviewed the definition of a decade with the student and then encouraged the student to make another selection that would be appropriate based on his new understanding of the word "decade".
Student/instructor ratio: 1:1	X	Application describes ratio as 1:1. Ratio observed matched description in original application.

On-site Monitoring Visit Rubric COMPLIANCE Components

NAME OF PROVIDER: Brainfuse

DATE DOCUMENTATION RECEIVED: 4/27/07

REVIEWER: ST

The following information is rated "Compliance" (C) or "Non-Compliance" (N-C). Selected documentation listed for each component must be submitted as part of the site visit monitoring. If documentation is not available on-site, the director or head of the provider's organization, the site director, or another authorized representative will be required to submit documentation to the IDOE within seven (7) calendar days of site visit completion. **Failure to submit evidence could result in removal from the approved provider list.**

If a provider is deemed to be in non-compliance with any component for which evidence has been requested, the provider may be contacted and may be required to develop and submit a corrective action plan for getting into compliance within 7 calendar days. If the corrective action plan is not submitted, if the corrective action plan is inappropriate or insufficient, or if the corrective action plan is not implemented, the provider may be removed from the state-approved list.

COMPONENT	REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION	DOCUMENTATION SUBMITTED (IDOE USE ONLY)	C	N-C
	ALL of the following:	-Criminal background checks		
		Although criminal background checks were submitted, some		
		background checks were not		
Criminal		current.		
background	-Criminal background checks from an appropriate source for every	Please submit additional		
checks	tutor and any other employees working directly with children.	background checks		X
	ONE of the following:			
	-Student release policy(ies)			
	In addition to:			
	-Safety plans and/or records			
	-Department of Health documentation of physical plant safety (if			
Health and	operating at a site other than a school)			
safety laws and	-Evacuation plans/policies (e.g., in case of fire, tornado, etc.)		,	,
regulations	-Transportation policies (as applicable)	Not applicable (online provider)	n/a	n/a
	TWO of the following:	-Business license/Certificate of		
		Incorporation	X	

	-Notarized business license or formal documentation of legal status	-Tax returns for FY 2004 & 2005	
Financial	-Audited financial statements		
viability	-Tax return for the past two years		